



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,017	08/21/2001	Toru Murata	Q65899	6073

7590 04/05/2004

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS  
2100 pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER
----------

BRIER, JEFFERY A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2672

10

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/933,017

Applicant(s)

MURATA, TORU

Examiner

Jeffery A Brier

Art Unit

2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on 02/09/04 has been entered.

### ***Response to Amendment***

2. The amendment filed on 2/9/04 has been entered.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. The arguments filed on 2/9/04 have been fully considered, but, they are deemed not to be persuasive. Applicant alleges the PC and projector in Miyashita are in the same room. At column 1 lines 41-54 Miyashita describes the projector and remote control are at a location separate from the PC. This location could be in the same room, in a different room in the same building or in a room in a different building. Miyashita teaches in the Background of the Invention and the Summary of the Invention to separate the PC from the projector and to provide a remote control so the PC will no longer need to be adjacent to the projector. It is clear from Miyashita the PC and projector are

Art Unit: 2672

connected by a RS-232C network. It is clear from the Sharp press release dated June 15, 2000 titled Sharp Redefines the High-end Conference Room LCD Projector that many projectors can be connected to a RS-232C network and to a 10baseT network. 10baseT is Ethernet. Thus, it is clear Miyashita teaches to one of ordinary skill in the projector networking field the PC may be placed anywhere the network goes, thus, the PC may be placed in a room different from the projector's room or even in a foreign country.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant amended claim 1 to claim "which transmits a framed packet signal with an overhead including an address code". The specification does not describe a "framed packet signal". See page 5 line 27 to page 7 line 9. At page 7 lines 5-9 the specification of this application describes: The packet generation part 28 adds overhead to the image data which is then in a packet form, so that the image data can be adapted to transmission of the communication line 4, and

Art Unit: 2672

then, sends out the image data packet through the communication line 4 to the presentation unit 3. The meaning of a framed packet signal is not clear and the specification does not describe a framed packet signal. The specification does not describe "a framed packet signal with an overhead including an address code". The specification of this application at page 7 lines 5-9 describes adding an overhead to the image data but does not describe what is in the overhead. The specification of this application at page 6 lines 3-7 describes adding an overhead such as a destination address or a source address to the remote control data which is then in a packet form. It is clear the specification of this application does not convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that applicant had possession of "a framed packet signal with an overhead including an address code".

Applicant amended claim 7 similar to claim 1, thus, claim 7 is rejected for the reasons given for claim 1. The dependent claims do not correct the above noted problems of their independent claims.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim 1 in the last 4 lines claims: wherein said remote control means selects the display contents displayed on said personal computer with said second image and voice display means to display said selected display contents on said first image and voice display means at the same time by communicating through said communication means.

The phrase displayed on said personal computer is indefinite because the second image and voice display do not display the selected display contents on the personal computer but the selected display contents are displayed by the second image and voice display. The examiner suggests changing displayed on said personal computer with said second image and voice display means to displayed by said personal computer on said second image and voice display means.

Dependent claims 2-6 and 13 do not correct the above noted problems found in claim 1.

### ***Specification***

8. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

Applicant amended claims 1 and 7 to claim a first image and voice display means (device in claim 7) having its own address code. The specification did not explicitly state the projector has its own address code.

Art Unit: 2672

Applicant amended claims 1 and 7 to claim a personal computer having its own address code. The specification at page 9 lines 3-7 describes the user selecting from a list an address that corresponds to the PC but the specification does not explicitly state the PC has its own address code.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyashita, U.S. Patent No. 5,782,548, in view of Yasukawa, U.S. Patent No. 6,437,786.

Claims 1-12:

Miyashita, U.S. Patent No. 5,782,548, teaches a projector and associated remote control connected via a network to a PC.

Yasukawa, U.S. Patent No. 6,437,786, teaches a LAN connected projector which allows a user via keying input device 11 to enter commands into the projector such as next image. If the next image is not in the projector then the projector sends a command to the server to supply the next image to the projector, see column 11 lines 3-67 and column 12 lines 28-34 and line 44 to column 13 lines 10 and 30-50.

Art Unit: 2672

Miyashita does not teach the projector and the PC have their own address codes.

Yasukawa teaches a projector and associated keying input means connected via a LAN to a PC. The projector and the PC have their own address codes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Miyashita's projector and PC for use in a network of the type that will allocate to the projector and the PC their own address codes so the projector and PC may use an already existing network such as a LAN to ease the connection of the user's PC to the projector, see Yasukawa column 2 lines 43-49.

A detailed analysis of claims 1-14 follows.

Claim 1:

Miyashita and Yasukawa teach an electronic presentation system (*Miyashita: see figure 4. Yasukawa: figures 3 and 4*) comprising:

network communication means (*Miyashita: serial transmission line 50 is a RS-232C network communication line which is a network communication means equivalent to that described by applicants specification because RS-232C allows multiple devices to communicate with any other device or devices connected to the network. Yasukawa: network 33 and 40.*) which transmits a framed packet signal with an overhead including an address



Art Unit: 2672

code (Yasukawa: *this phrase is interpreted to mean transmitting a packet signal with an overhead including an address which is taught by Yasukawa by the use of the LAN and Internet*);

a first image and voice display means (Miyashita: *projector 10. Yasukawa: projector 31.*) having its own address code (Yasukawa: *Yasukawa's projector has its own address, see column 10 line 64 to column 11 line 2*) connected to said communication means in which display control and communication control through said communication means are controlled by remote control means (Miyashita: *column 9 lines 9-34, remote controller 20 controls the computer's presentation by transmitting signals to the projector 10 which transfers those signals to the computer via serial transmission line 50. Yasukawa: keying input device 11.*); and

a personal computer (Miyashita: *PC 40. Yasukawa: PCs 32A, 32B, 41A, 41B*), having its own address code (Yasukawa: *Yasukawa's PCs have their own addresses, see column 10 line 64 to column 11 line 2*), provided with a second image (Miyashita: *display 44. Yasukawa: see figures 3 and 4, PCs 32A, 32B, 41A, 41B have their own display means*) and voice (Miyashita: *inherently Miyashita includes voice display means since the computer is displaying a presentation having both visual and audio. Yasukawa: since the computer is displaying a*

Art Unit: 2672

*presentation having both visual and audio then the PCs have both image and voice display means.) display means connected to said communication means (Miyashita: indirectly display 44 is connected to serial transmission line 50. Yasukawa: the PC's displays are connected to network bus 33 and 40 via the PC's network means.) and different from said first image and voice display means placed in a position different from the position placing said first image and voice display means (Miyashita: the location of the computer's display means is different than the location of the projector's display means since the projector and computer are physically separate devices, also note column 1 lines 41-54. Yasukawa: network 33 and 40 is discussed as either local intranet or the internet.), and input means (Miyashita: keyboard 46 and mouse 48. Yasukawa: this claim does not state what the input means is, thus, any input means of the PCs meets the broad claim limitation such as the network communication means.);*

*wherein said remote control means selects on said personal computer with the display contents displayed on said second image and voice display means to display said selected display contents on said first image and voice display means at the same time (Miyashita: at column 10 line 51 to line 18 many mouse commands may be programmed into the remote controller that will perform the function performed*

Art Unit: 2672

*by the user using the mouse at the PC described at column 1 lines 10-38.)* by communicating through said communication means *(Miyashita: the RS-232C communicates the image displayed on the PC's display to the projector.)*. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Miyashita's projector and PC for use in a network of the type that will allocate to the projector and the PC their own address codes so the projector and PC may use an already existing network such as a LAN to ease the connection of the user's PC to the projector, Yasukawa column 2 lines 43-49.

The last 4 lines do not clearly claim what is displayed on the second image and voice display means and what is displayed on the first image and voice display means. The claim covers displaying icons on the second display that selects presentations to be displayed on the first display.

The last 4 lines do not claim what is communicated through the communication means. The last 4 lines do not clearly claim how the display contents displayed by the second image and voice display means are communicated through the communication means to display the display contents on the first image and voice display means.

#### Claim 2:

Miyashita teaches the electronic presentation system according to claim 1, wherein said remote control means comprises a remote control transmitter (*see figure 5, infrared light emitting means 36 transmits*

Art Unit: 2672

*signals*) sending a sending signal of a code corresponding to a depressed button (*column 9 lines 22-31*) and means for converting said sending signal of said remote control transmitter to a communication signal of said communication means (*signal processor 60, computation control means 62 and I/O interface 66 converts the infrared signal into a signal compatible with serial transmission line 50*) and sending the communication signal (*via interface 66*); wherein said personal computer comprises means for converting said sending signal of said remote control transmitter sent through said communication means to a signal (*I/O interface 72*) equivalent to the output signal of the input means (*I/O interface 74 generates signals from input means 46 and 48 equivalent to the signals generated by I/O interface 72, see column 9 lines 66-67 and column 10 lines 1-5*) provided in said personal computer, means for selecting the previously created display contents displayed on said second image and voice display means (*the user is enabled to select an image on the computer display 44 for display by projector 10 such as provided by the page advance button, column 11 line 5*), by said converted signal equivalent to the output signal of said input means (*column 10 lines 1-5*), and means for converting a display signal of said selected display contents displayed on said second image and voice display means to a communication signal of said communication means at the same time and sending the communication signal (*I/O interface 72 transmits the display signal corresponding*

Art Unit: 2672

*to the selected display contents*) to the projector; wherein said remote control means further comprises means (*such as the buttons described at column 11 lines 4-6*) for sending the display signal of said second image and voice display means sent through said communication means to said first image and voice display means.

## Claim 3:

Miyashita teaches the electronic presentation system according to claim 2, wherein the selection of the previously created display contents displayed on said second image and voice display means, done by the signal equivalent to said converted output signal of said input means is executed by basic software (*bios is in all computers as well as operating system 100, column 9 lines 61-67*) installed in said personal computer (*personal computer 40*) and application software (*application software 120, column 9 lines 61-67*) operated under said basic software and used to previously create said display contents.

## Claim 4:

Miyashita teaches the electronic presentation system according to claim 2, wherein said communication means is a wired communication system (*Miyashita: the serial transmission line 50 is described as RS-232, column 8 lines 10-13, which is typically a wired*

Art Unit: 2672

*communication system. Yasukawa: the term LAN includes both wired and wireless networks.).*

Claim 5:

Miyashita teaches the electronic presentation system according to claim 2, wherein said communication means is a wireless communication system (*Miyashita: the serial transmission line 50 is described as RS-232, column 8 lines 10-13, which is typically a wired communication system, however, wireless RS-232 is known and used in wireless communications systems. Yasukawa: the term LAN includes both wired and wireless networks.).*

Claim 6:

The PC is remotely connected in both Miyashita and Yasukawa to the projector, thus, second image and voice display means is remotely connected to the first image and voice display means.

Claim 7:

This claim is a device claim version of means plus function claim 1 and is rejected for the reasons given for claim 1.

Claim 8:

This claim is a device claim version of means plus function claim 6 and is rejected for the reasons given for claim 6.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim 9:

This claim is a device claim version of means plus function claim 2 and is rejected for the reasons given for claim 2.

Claim 10:

This claim is a device claim version of means plus function claim 3 and is rejected for the reasons given for claim 3.

Claim 11:

This claim is a device claim version of means plus function claim 4 and is rejected for the reasons given for claim 4.

Claim 12:

This claim is a device claim version of means plus function claim 5 and is rejected for the reasons given for claim 5.

Claim 13:

Newly submitted claim 13 claims the electronic presentation system of claim 1, wherein said network communication means uses an Ethernet network.

Miyashita's network is a RS-232C network. Yasukawa's network is a LAN. LAN are formed by many different types of networks one of which is Ethernet. At column 10 line 25 to column 11 line 19 various networks are described. 10base2 and 10baseT wire networks are Ethernet networks. It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 2672

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to connect Miyashita's projector and PC to an Ethernet network so the projector and PC may use an already existing network such as a LAN formed from an Ethernet network to ease the connection of the user's PC to the projector, Yasukawa column 2 lines 43-49.

Claim 14:

Newly submitted claim 14 claims the electronic presentation system of claim 7, wherein said network communication means uses an Ethernet network. This claim would have been obvious for the same reasons given for claim 13 above.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephone number is (703) 305-4723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713).

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)**



Art Unit: 2672

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121

Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.



Jeffery A Brier  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2672